# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Definition and prevalence of familial short stature



Veronica Grigoletto<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Agostino Occhipinti<sup>1</sup>, Maria Chiara Pellegrin<sup>2</sup>, Fabio Sirchia<sup>2</sup>, Egidio Barbi<sup>1,2</sup>, and Gianluca Tornese<sup>2\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Objective:** To verify the prevalence of novel definitions of familial short stature on a cross-sectional cohort of children referred for short stature when their height and that of both parents were measured.

**Methods:** We consecutively enrolled 65 individuals referred for short stature when both parents were present. We defined "target height-related short stature" (TH-SS) when child's height is  $\leq -2$  SDS and included in the range of target height; suspected "autosomal dominant short stature" (AD-SS) when child height and at least one parent height are  $\leq -2$  SDS; "constitutional familial short stature" (C-FSS) when a child with TH-SS does not have any parents with height  $\leq -2$  SDS.

**Results:** Of 65 children referred for SS, 48 individuals had a height  $\leq -2$  SDS. Based on the parents' measured heights, 24 children had TH-SS, 16 subjects AD-SS, and 12 individuals C-FSS. If we had considered only the parents' reported height, 3 of 24 children with TH-SS, 9 of 16 with AD-SS, and 10 of 12 with C-FSS would have been lost.

**Conclusion:** We suggest novel definitions to adequately detect and approach the cases of FSS since C-FSS (25%) might not need any specific investigation, while on the contrary, AD-SS (33%) should undergo genetic evaluation. Moreover, this study underlines that adequate measurement and consideration of children's and parents' heights (individually and together) are crucial in the clinical evaluation of every child with short stature.

Keywords: Familial short stature, Target height, Genetics, Measured, Reported

# Introduction

Short stature (SS) – defined as a height  $\leq -2$  standard deviation score (SDS) – is the most common referral reason in pediatric endocrinology [1].

In 23–37% of the cases, these children have a family history of SS and attain a final adult height that, despite being  $\leq -2$  SDS, is consistent with their target height (TH): this condition is addressed as familial short stature (FSS) and has long been considered a normal variant of growth and is usually not investigated nor treated [2, 3].

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



However, genetic analysis's rapid progress and innovation enabled scientists to identify different monogenic gene defects that cause SS, mainly with an autosomal-dominant inheritance, which may not be classified as FSS according to this definition when the parents have a big difference between their height SDS. For this reason, some authors believe that it is more appropriate to consider FSS when at least one parent has a height of  $\leq -2$  SDS [4–6].

To better distinguish a different subset of FSS and their following management, we elaborated the following definitions for FSS:

- "target height-related short stature" (TH-SS) when child's height is ≤ – 2 SDS and included in the range of TH (i.e., TH SDS ± 1.5);

© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, wish http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: gianluca.tornese@burlo.trieste.it

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Institute for Maternal and Child Health - IRCCS "Burlo Garofolo" – Trieste, Via dell'Istria 65/1, 34137 Trieste, Italy

- suspected "autosomal dominant short stature" (AD-SS) when child height and at least one parent height are ≤ -2 SDS, who should undergo genetic evaluation;
- "constitutional familial short stature" (C-FSS) when a child with TH-SS does not have any parents with height  $\leq -2$  SDS (TH-SS without AD-SS), who does not need further investigations (once that the most frequent causes of SS have been excluded and statural growth has not presented any further deflections over time).

Furthermore, a significant portion of FSS cases may not be recognized in clinical practice if the parents' height is not adequately investigated. Family history may not be enough to identify all children having a parent with SS if we consider that parents – especially those of children referred for short stature – tend to overestimate their height [7-11].

This study aimed to verify the prevalence of FSS novel definitions on a cross-sectional cohort of children referred for SS when their height and that of both parents were measured.

## Material and methods

We consecutively enrolled 65 individuals referred for SS to the Endocrine Unit of the Institute for Maternal and Child Health "Burlo Garofolo" when both parents were present. After explaining that an accurate estimate of their height was required for their children's evaluation, parents' self-reported height was registered (reported parent height, R-PHt). Parents and children heights were recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm (measured parent height, M-PHt, and child height, CHt, respectively) using a Harpenden wall-mounted stadiometer (Holtain 602VR, Crosswell, UK), and children's weight was digitally registered to the nearest 0.1 kg with an electronic scale (SECA 877, Hmaburg, Germany). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. TH was determined with the formula: (paternal height + maternal height)/ 2–6 for females and +6 for males using Growth Calculator 3 Software, and SDS for heights, weights, BMI, and TH, according to Italian reference charts (Cacciari 2006 [12]). Pubertal status was determined following Tanner criteria [13, 14].

All children with SS underwent medical investigations to exclude nutritional, hormonal, and iatrogenic causes for their short stature and were classified according to the definitions mentioned above of FSS (TH-SS; AD-SS; C-FSS).

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Committee (RC 33/18 Line 2).

Data were presented as percentages, median and interquartile ranges (IQRs). Mann-Whitney rank-sum tests and Two-tailed Fisher exact tests were performed to evaluate the relations between variables. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was conducted using JMP<sup>TM</sup> software (version 15.1.0, SAS Institute Inc.).

# Results

Over 65 children referred for SS, 48 forty-eight individuals had a height  $\leq -2$  SDS, with a median age of 9.1 years (81% were pre-pubertal) (Table 1). Although BMI SDS was lower than general population reference (-1.1 SDS), nutritional, hormonal, and iatrogenic causes have been excluded.

When considering M-PHt, 24 children had TH-SS and 16 children AD-SS (Fig. 1). Overall, 28 children were included in at least one of the two definitions: 12 children were identified by both TH-SS and AD-SS, 12 subjects only by TH-SS (since they did not have any of parents with SS) and represented C-FSS, and 4 individuals were

**Table 1** Data regarding height, weight, and BMI SDS, age, sex, and pubertal stage between groups (data are reported as median and interquartile ranges or frequencies) All data are referred to measured parental heights

	SS (n = 48)	No SS ( <i>n</i> = 17)	p	TH-SS (n = 24)	No TH-SS (n = 24)	p	AD-SS (n = 12)	No AD-SS (n = 36)	p	C-FSS (n = 12)	No C-FSS ( <i>n</i> = 36)	p
Height (SDS)	-2.4 (- 2.8;-2.2)	-1.9 (- 2.0;-1.8)	< 0.01	- 2.3 (- 2.5;-2.2)	-2.6 (- 3.0;-2.3)	0.02	- 2.6 (- 3.5;-2.2)	-2.4 (- 2.6;- 2.2)	0.23	-2.2 (- 2.5;-2.1)	-2.5 (- 2.9;-2.2)	0.04
Weight (SDS)	-2.4 (- 2.8;-1.7)	- 1.6 (- 2.4;-0.8)	< 0.01	-2.4 (- 2.8;-1.4)	-2.5 (- 3.3;-1.8)	0.27	-2.5 (- 3.0;-1.4)	-2.4 (- 2.8;-1.8)	0.77	-2.4 (- 2.8;-1.7)	-2.4 (- 2.9;-1.7)	0.98
BMI (SDS)	-1.1 (- 1.9;-0.3)	-1.0 (- 1.6;0.2)	0.59	-1.0 (- 1.9;-0.4)	-1.1 (- 2.0;0.2)	0.62	-0.7 (- 1.7;0.3)	-1.2 (- 2.0;-0.3)	0.20	-1.7 (- 2.2;-0.8)	-1.0 (- 1.7;0.2)	0.20
Age (years)	9.1 (6.2;12.4)	12.1 (5.4;14.3)	0.21	11.2 (7.3;13.0)	8.3 (3.7;12.1)	0.82	8.2 (5.3;10.8)	10.2 (7.1;12.6)	0.06	11.3 (10.3;13.0)	8.8 (6.0;12.1)	0.24
Female (%)	42%	41%	1.00	33%	50%	0.38	42%	42%	1.00	83%	50%	0.04
Pubertal (%)	19%	59%	< 0.01	21%	21%	1.00	0%	25%	0.09	25%	17%	0.67

AD-SS Suspected autosomal dominant short stature, SS Short stature, C-FSS Constitutional familial short stature, TH-SS Target height-related short stature



identified only by AD-SS (because their TH was not  $\leq$  – 2 SDS) (Fig. 2).

Median height SDS in children with TH-SS and C-FSS was significantly higher than in children without TH-SS or C-FSS, respectively, while it was lower in children with AD-SS than in children without AD-SS, with no statistical significance (Table 1).

If we had considered R-PHt, 3 out of 24 children with TH-SS, 9 out of 16 with AD-SS, and 10 out of 12 C-FSS would have been missed (Fig. 1).

# Discussion

In this study, we verified that the prevalence of FSS among short children might vary according to novel proposed definitions: 50% if we consider "target height-related short stature" (TH-SS), 33% for suspected "autosomal dominant short stature" (AD-SS) and 25% for "constitutional familial short stature" (C-FSS). Moreover, we found that a relevant quote of FSS may be missed if clinicians only rely on reported parents' height (56% of AD-SS, 13% of TH-SS, 83% of C-FSS).



The calculation of TH is a standard procedure for every pediatrician over the past 50 years [15]: since 90% of children's height is known to be within 1.5 SDS (approximately 2 centile lines) of TH [16], if the estimated final height is outside this range, it should be considered a variant growth pattern or a pathologic cause. When a child is short and height is consistent with TH, this has been classically addressed as FSS and considered most often a normal variant [2, 3].

However, TH is based on the assumption of an equal magnitude of polygenic factors derived from both parents, and if one of the parents is unusually tall or short, the TH is a poor predictor of attained height, since genetics is not just a matter of average and the child will inherit traits relating to stature more from one parent than the other [17]. This matter is essential when examining FSS: the presence of at least one parent with a height of  $\leq -2$  SDS (which we suggest addressing as AD-SS) should always take priority in the diagnostic approach; otherwise, a potential inherited monogenic condition in an autosomal-dominant pattern can be overlooked and classified as a standard variant [6]. Cases of AD-SS should not be disregarded, as the identification of a causative gene can support treatment decisions (e.g., a more accurate prediction of the specific response to growth hormone treatment), the evaluation of the recurrence risk in the family, and enables the recognition of other features in case of a syndrome [18]. In our cohort, one-third of children with SS could be classified as AD-SS, and among them, three quarters could have been missed if the attention was only pointed on TH.

We suggest considering as "constitutional familial short stature" (C-FSS) only children with TH-FSS that do not have any parents with SS (TH-SS without AD-SS). This subgroup can be considered a normal variant, undeserving further evaluation, after the most frequent causes of short statures, such as coeliac disease or thyroid disorders, have been excluded and statural growth has not presented any further deflections over time.

Moreover, our data underline that heights (of both children and parents) must always be measured directly for usable data. This procedure is necessary for all children referred for short stature, and our data showed that 26% of respondents for this issue were not truly short. However, parents should always be measured, as when they report their height, an incorrect measurement (even higher than 8 cm) is often communicated. In particular, adults of short stature or parents of children with this problem tend to overestimate their height, making TH unreliable [11, 19]. This overestimation has its clinical effects in that reported rather than measured parental

heights could have led to losing AD-SS in more than half of our cohort cases.

We then suggest the following workflow in cases of suspected FSS:

- 1. children's height must always be measured carefully to confirm SS;
- the most frequent causes of SS should be ruled out first (such as celiac disease or thyroid disorders) before considering FSS as an etiology, as FSS always remains a diagnosis by exclusion;
- 3. both parents should always be measured, and their heights should be considered together (to calculate TH) and individually (to exclude parental SS). In practical terms, it is possible to identify a cut-off height for the definition of SS in parents, reducing the need for additional calculations during the medical evaluation (for instance, in the Italian population, 2 SDS height in adults corresponds to 150.9 cm for women and 164.1 cm for men) [12].
- if at least one of the parent is ≤ 2 SDS (AD-SS), a genetic evaluation should be requested in order to investigate genetic mutation causing possible SS with autosomal-dominant inheritance;
- if a child has a short stature consistent with TH (TH-SS) and none of the parents' height is ≤ - 2 SDS, we could define it as having C-FSS, intended as a standard variant of growth, with no need of specific further investigation.

Although this study refers to a small cohort in a singlecenter, we believe that it focuses on the accurate definition of FSS for its following management.

Further studies are needed in order to understand in how many cases of AD-SS a causative gene mutation can be found with newer approaches (e.g., next-generation sequencing or clinical exome sequencing) [4] and in which cases a treatment (e.g., growth hormone or vosoritide) can allow to restoring an average height [20, 21].

### Conclusion

In conclusion, we suggest novel definitions to adequately detect and approach the cases of FSS since C-FSS might not need any specific investigation, while on the contrary, AD-SS should undergo genetic evaluation. Moreover, this study underlines that adequate measurement and consideration of children's and parents' heights (individually and together) are crucial in the clinical evaluation of every children with SS.

#### Abbreviations

AD-SS: Suspected autosomal dominant short stature; C-FSS: Constitutional familial short stature; CHt: Child height; FSS: Familial short stature; IQR: Interquartile range; M-: Measured; PHt: Parent height; R-: Reported;

SDS: Standard deviation score; SS: Short stature; TH: Target height; TH-SS: Target height-related short stature

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Martina Bradaschia for the English revision of the manuscript and Viviana Vidonis, Giada Vittori, and Nicoletta Grassi for their clinical support.

#### Authors' contributions

VG and AAO conceived the work, helped acquire data, and wrote the first draft; MCP, FS, and EB have drafted the work and substantively revised it; GT conceived the work, performed the analysis have drafted the paper, and substantively revised it. All authors have approved the submitted version.

#### Funding

No funding was secured for this study.

#### Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, GT, upon reasonable request.

## Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Committee (RC 33/18 Line 2). Patients' parents provided informed consent.

#### **Consent for publication**

No needed.

#### Competing interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report and no financial interests to disclose.

#### Author details

 $^1$ University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy.  $^2$ Institute for Maternal and Child Health - IRCCS "Burlo Garofolo" – Trieste, Via dell'Istria 65/1, 34137 Trieste, Italy.

#### Received: 12 December 2020 Accepted: 2 March 2021 Published online: 09 March 2021

#### References

- Bellotto E, Monasta L, Pellegrin MC, et al. Pattern and features of pediatric endocrinology referrals: a retrospective study in a single tertiary center in Italy. Front Pediatr. 2020;8:580588.
- Sisley S, Vargas Trujillo M, Khoury J, et al. Low incidence of pathology detection and high cost of screening in the evaluation of asymptomatic short children. J Pediatr. 2013;163:1045–51.
- ICPED Consortium. International classification of pediatric Endocrine diagnoses. 1C.1 familial idiopathic short stature. Available from: http://www. icped.org [Accessed 12 Dec 2020].
- Dauber A. Genetic testing for the child with short stature has the time come to change our diagnostic paradigm? J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019; 104:2766–9.
- Tornese G. Letter to the editor: "IHH gene mutations causing short stature with nonspecific skeletal abnormalities and response to growth hormone therapy". J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019;104:5116–7.
- Vasques GA, Jorge AAL. Response to letter to the editor: "IHH gene mutations causing short stature with nonspecific skeletal abnormalities and response to growth hormone therapy". J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019;104: 5118–9.
- Cizmecioglu F, Doherty A, Paterson WF, et al. Measured versus reported parental height. Arch Dis Child. 2005;90:941–2.
- 8. Teitelbaum JE, Koreen S, Hightower K, et al. Inaccuracy of stated versus measured parental heights. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2005;44:339–41.
- Braziuniene I, Wilson TA, Lane AH. Accuracy of self-reported height measurements in parents and its effect on mid-parental target height calculation. BMC Endocr Disord. 2007;7:2.
- Gozzi T, Flück C, L'allemand D, et al. Do centimetres matter? Self-reported versus estimated height measurements in parents. Acta Paediatr. 2010;99: 569–74.

- 11. Ceconi V, Grigoletto V, Pellegrin MC, et al. Accuracy and consequences of reported target height. Acta Paediatr. 2020. Epub ahead of print.
- Cacciari E, Milani S. Balsamo a and SIEDP directive council 2002-03. Italian cross sectional growth charts for height, weight and BMI (6-20 yr). Eur J Clin Nutr. 2002;56:171–80.
- Marshall WA, Tanner JM. Variations in the pattern of pubertal changes in boys. Arch Dis Child. 1970;45:13–23.
- Marshall WA, Tanner JM. Variations in the pattern of pubertal changes in girls. Arch Dis Child. 1969;44:291–303.
- 15. Tornese G. The ABCD of target height. Minerva Endocrinol. 2021. Epub ahead of print.
- Wright CM, Cheetham TD. The strengths and limitations of parental heights as a predictor of attained height. Arch Dis Child. 1999;81:257–60.
- 17. Hermanussen M, Cole J. The calculation of target height reconsidered. Horm Res. 2003;59:180–3.
- Tornese G, Pellegrin MC, Barbi E, et al. Pediatric endocrinology through syndromes. Eur J Med Genet. 2020;63:103614.
- Gunnell D, Berney L, Holland P, Maynard M, Blane D, Frankel S, et al. How accurately are height, weight and leg length reported by the elderly, and how closely are they related to measurements recorded in childhood? Int J Epidemiol. 2000;29:456–64.
- Hisado-Oliva A, Ruzafa-Martin A, Sentchordi L, et al. Mutations in Cnatriuretic peptide (NPPC): a novel cause of autosomal dominant short stature. Genet Med. 2018;20:91–7.
- Vasques GA, Andrade NLM, Jorge AAL. Genetic causes of isolated short stature. Arch Endocrinol Metab. 2019;63:70–8.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- · thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

