REVIEW

Open Access

Pediatric idiopathic anaphylaxis: practical management from infants to adolescents

Francesca Mori¹, Francesca Saretta², Mattia Giovannini^{1,3}, Mariannita Gelsomino^{4*}, Lucia Liotti⁵, Simona Barni¹, Carla Mastrorilli⁶, Luca Pecoraro⁷, Riccardo Castagnoli^{8,9}, Stefania Arasi¹⁰, Lucia Caminiti¹¹, Angela Klain¹², Michele Miraglia del Giudice¹² and Elio Novembre³

Abstract

Idiopathic anaphylaxis (IA) remains a frustrating challenge for both patients and physicians. The aim of this paper is to focus on IA in pediatric ages and suggest possible diagnostic algorithms according to specific age ranges (infants, children, and adolescents). In fact, in a variable percentage of patients, despite extensive diagnostic tests, the cause of anaphylactic episodes cannot be identified. Moreover, the lack of a unanimous IA definition requires a careful and detailed diagnostic workup. Prompt recognition of signs and symptoms, especially in younger children, and an accurate clinical history often allow a choice of the most appropriate diagnostic tests and a correct differential diagnosis.

Keywords Idiopathic anaphylaxis, Prevention, Diagnostic workup, Adrenaline autoinjectors

*Correspondence:

Mariannita Gelsomino

- mariannita.gelsomino@gmail.com
- ¹ Allergy Unit, Meyer Children's Hospital IRCCS, Florence 50139, Italy
- ² Pediatric Department, General Pediatrician, Azienda Sanitaria
- Universitaria Friuli Centrale, Udine 33100, Italy
- ³ Department of Health Sciences, University of Florence, Florence 50139, Italy
- ⁴ Department of Life Sciences and Public Health, Pediatric Allergy Unit, University Foundation Policlinico Gemelli IRCCS Catholic University
- of the Sacred Heart Rome, Rome, Italy
- ⁵ Department of Mother and Child Health, Pediatric Unit, Salesi Children's Hospital, Ancona 60123, Italy
- ⁶ Pediatric and Emergency Department, Pediatric Hospital Giovanni XXIII, AOU Policlinic of Bari, Bari 70126, Italy

⁷ Pediatric Unit, Department of Surgical Sciences, Destiny, Gynecology and Pediatrics, University of Verona, Verona 37126, Italy

⁸ Department of Clinical, Surgical, Diagnostic and Pediatric Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia 27100, Italy

¹¹ Allergy Unit, Department of Pediatrics, AOU Policlinico Gaetano Martino, Messina 98124, Italy

¹² Department of Woman, Child and General and Specialized Surgery, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples 80138, Italy

Introduction

In children, "idiopathic anaphylaxis" (IA) is estimated to be present in 10% of all anaphylaxes after a complete allergy workup [1]. However, many differences in epidemiology have been reported by different studies (Table 1).

The term IA is usually used to refer to an acute event in which a patient presents the clinical features of anaphylaxis, but no specific cause of anaphylaxis is promptly recognized or identified later and all other diseases that imitate anaphylaxis are excluded along the diagnostic pathway. The term idiopathic anaphylaxis was used for the first time by Bacal et al. in 1978 [11]. One of the first case reports of IA in children was by Dykewicz et al. [12], followed by Ditto et al. [13]. The first pediatric case series on IA was published in 1997 by the same authors' group [2] and included 22 children, all but one of whom were evaluated at the Division of Allergy and Immunology at Northwestern University (Chicago). These children were previously included in another study from the same group [14] that was later published as a pediatric case series [15]. In the 1997 case series, almost half of the children had other allergic diseases (asthma,



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



⁹ Pediatric Clinic, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia 27100, Italy

¹⁰ Division of Allergy, Translational Research in Pediatric Specialties Area, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome 00165, Italy

First Author Year, Country	Number of cases Enrollment period	Clinical data Diagnostic work-up (if available)	% IA
Ditto [2] 1997 USA	22 already diagnosed idiopathic anaphylaxis	→first pediatric case-series median age 10.9 yo 31.8% male 40.9% previous asthma	100%
Calvani [3] 2011 Italy	237 anaphylaxis, 163 enrolled by diagnostic criteria 18 months	→allergy centers in Italy median age 4.0 yo 68% male 36% previous asthma →skin tests, slgE, tryptase, ProvT	8.4%
Grabenhenrich [4] 2016 Europe Registry	1970 anaphylaxis 7 years + 9 months	→ European Registry 44% < 6 yo, 33% 6–12 yo, 23% 13–17 yo 64.9% male 22.9% previous asthma → skin tests, slgE, tryptase, ProvT	21%
Ganapathy [5] 2016 Singapore	485 anaphylaxis 8 years	→ED recruitment mean age 8.2±4.3 yo 61.2% male 21.6% previous asthma	33.8%
Wright [6] 2017 USA	40 anaphylaxis 1 year	→ED recruitment Median age 6.5 yo 70% male 25% previous asthma	17,5%
Lee [7] 2016 Canada	977 anaphylaxis 2 years, 2 centers	→ED recruitment C1 5.0 yo; C2 6.1 yo C1 male 64.7%, C2 male 56.1% C1 19.7%, C2 18.7% previous asthma	4.9% in C1 10.5% in C2
Le [8] 2019 Canada	295 idiopathic anaphylaxis [3922 total anaphylaxis] 7 years, 8 centers	→Prospective study 75.3% children < 18 yo, median age 9.0 yo 54.1% male 18.1% previous asthma	7.5% total cases
Gaspar [9] 2021 Portugal Registry	533 anaphylaxis 10 years	 →National Registry Mean age 8.5±4.9 yo 61% male 45% previous asthma →IA cases identified though a Registry Questionnaire 	1%
Jares [10] 2023 Latin America Registry adults + children	[808 total anaphylaxis] 334 children < 17 yo 42 months	→international Registry mean age 5 yo 61.4% male 30.8%previous asthma	5.3%

Table 1 Pediatric case series of idiopathic anaphylaxis (IA)

rhinitis, food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis, etc.), and 15 out of the 22 (68%) children were female. The children presented a wide variety of phenotypes and erratic responses to therapy. Three children presented with malignant idiopathic anaphylaxis (failure to respond to <30 mg/day of prednisone or <60 mg every other day), and one of these was lastly diagnosed with undifferentiated somatoform IA. Some authors suggest investigating this kind of IA when there is no response to any therapy. IA remains a frustrating challenge for both patients and physicians since, in a variable percentage of cases, despite extensive diagnostic tests, the cause of anaphylactic episodes could not be identified. In two very recent reviews on different types of anaphylaxis, the authors [16, 17] reported some possible underlying

mechanisms explaining IA; however, most of them are not fully characterized yet. It is of the utmost importance to specify that, over the last few years, some changes in allergy nomenclature regarding IA have been proposed. As pointed out by Hammond [18], signs and symptoms of IA should be considered as a possible presentation of a mast cell disorder, as already proposed by Giannetti et al. [19] and by Akin [20]. Therefore, in managing a patient with a suspected episode of IA, clinicians should always include diagnostic tests for mast cell disorders. Certainly, the lack of a common and precise definition of anaphylaxis introduces further issues to the field. Even the main allergological scientific societies have not yet managed to agree on a unique definition of anaphylaxis (NIAID/ FAAN 2006 [21]; EAACI 2020 [22]; EAACI PED [23]; WAO 2020 [24]; ASCIA 2021 [25]; AAAAI 2020 [26]). Furthermore, a uniform definition of AI is also still under discussion. Gulen and Akin [1] compared the typical clinical presentation of IA and mast cell activation syndrome (MCAS), from which it emerges that the involvement of the gastrointestinal system is an exclusive characterization of anaphylaxis if there is a "likely" or "known" cause. In the case of a suspected IA, when the cause remains unknown, cardiovascular symptoms (hypotension, syncope, collapse) or severe respiratory symptoms (laryngeal edema, wheezing, stridor) must accompany skin or mucosal involvement.

Finally, there are no specific diagnostic criteria for anaphylaxis in childhood; therefore, one must rely on adults' criteria. This is a critical point of discussion since infants and toddlers are especially unable to articulate prodromal symptoms, such as abdominal pain or itching, and fuzziness or sleepiness could be misinterpreted as normal behavior. Of all proposed definitions of anaphylaxis by scientific societies, none provide a dedicated definition for pediatric age. Another critical point is that in most pediatric case series on anaphylaxis (whether enrolled in allergy clinics or in the emergency department [ED]), after a first skimming of food-drug-venom causes, often no further investigation was proposed or allergological referral programmed. Also, as listed in Table 1, not all studies reported a detailed diagnostic pathway through which the IA diagnosis has been formalized. Some studies calculated the percentage of IA only upon the ICD-9 coding at discharge from the ED; others had initially recruited a mixed-case series (adults or children). A clear example of these discrepancies could be observed by comparing the study by Calvani et al. [3], who reported 8.4% IA, and the European registry [4] with 21% IA. Both groups analyzed case series from selected patients already referred to allergy centers, and both had extended diagnostic pathways. In future studies, therefore, it is quite important to include a detailed diagnostic pathway and a long-term follow-up with repeated revaluations. Large studies are needed to define the exact incidence of IA better.

Pathogenesis

In the recent literature [1, 17], the different pathogenic mechanisms of IA were discussed to a great extent. Gulen T et al. [1] analyzed several features of IA and focused on pathogenesis and the *"intriguing relationship between IA and MCAS and mastocytosis.* Some factors seemed to confirm this connection, such as the main role of mast cells in IA, the commonly released mediators, and the response to therapies targeting mast cells.

In another study by Ivkovic-Jurekovic [27], other mediators seemed to be involved in IA. The authors

demonstrated a reduced intestinal or serum activity of diamine oxidase (DAO) and histamine N-methyltransferase (HMT) in three children with IA, confirming their histamine intolerance. The authors also proposed the study of DAO and HMT gene polymorphism as a possible identification of a genetic predisposition but advised that more investigation needed to be done in this regard.

An increase in sensitivity to histamine was proposed by Tedeschi et al. [28]. They demonstrated a positivity of the autologous serum skin test and basophil histamine release assay in a patient as a confirmation of the presence of circulating histamine-releasing factors. However, this theory has not been investigated further.

The presence of autoantibodies against the Immunoglobulin E (IgE) receptor was another hypothesis, but studies did not confirm it [29].

Diagnosis

The importance of a precise and timely investigation of an anaphylaxis episode was discussed by Gonzales de Olano et al. [16].

Since the authors considered every anaphylaxis as "*the end result of massive mast cell activation*," they suggested a possible workup, including all diagnostic tests to distinguish different mechanisms of anaphylaxis in the exposures to allergens, exercise, hormones, emotional stress, non-IgE-mediated activation, mastocytosis, and hereditary alpha tryptasemia (HaT).

In this paper, we seek to provide practical information on how to diagnose and manage IA among different age groups (infants, children, and adolescents) and differentiate the possible triggers based on age. Table 2 describes three different scenarios.

Clinical history must be carefully collected, remembering to investigate specific aspects and focus on the role of cofactors (Table 3).

Other anaphylaxis mimic disorders should be considered in the differential diagnosis of IA, for instance:

- a) Malignancies such as carcinoid syndrome, VIPoma, familial medullary thyroid carcinoma, and pheochromocytoma
- b) Bradykinin disorders, hereditary angioedema (HAE), ACE-I (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors) induced angioedema
- c) Paradoxical vocal cord dysfunction
- d) Scombroid syndrome
- e) Vitamin supplements/energy drinks (nicotinic acid)
- f) Psychiatric diseases, such as Munchausen and Munchausen-by-proxy syndrome [61], undifferentiated somatoform IA [62], and anaphylaxis mimicries, such as psychiatric conditions and panic attacks, should be excluded if diagnostic tests are negative especially if

Table 2 Three different scenarios

Infant	Child	Adolescent
A 3-month female underwent two surgeries with a 2-month interval to correct a cleft lip and palate. No complications occurred dur- ing the first surgery; instead, during the second one, she developed a nearly fatal perioperative anaphylaxis	A 5-year-old boy was referred to the Allergy Unit because of the occurrence of urticaria, cough and bronchospasm two hours after din- ner. He had eaten pasta with pesto, chicken and grapes. Parents suspected allergy to pine nuts, because usually he eats home-made pesto made with almonds by his grandmother	A 15-year-old boy presented an anaphylactic reaction after eating a piece of nougat: a few minutes after ingestion, the boy presented oropharyngeal itching, angioedema, cough, dysphonia and urticaria. He was treated at ED with intravenous steroids and antihistamines. Signs and symptoms resolved in a few hours. In his past medical history, he had suffered from allergic rhinitis and atopic dermatitis since childhood; in a previous allergic evaluation, he had reported positive skin prick tests (SPT) to Artemisia, Parietaria and grass pollens
Diagnostic work-up and follow up		
After 6 months, she was investigated with in vivo (skin prick tests, intradermal tests) and in vitro (specific IgE, basophil activation) tests for suspected DHR to the drugs admin- istered during surgery—fentanyl, propofol, cefazoline, chlorhexidine, rocuronium—and for latex. All allergy tests resulted negative. A non-IgE mediated reaction was suspected. Acute tryptase dosage was not performed dur- ing the acute reaction. Basal tryptase was further measured and high tryptase levels were found (19.1 mcg/ml). The tryptase dosage was then repeated several times with similarly high results (21.2 mcg/ml and 21.3 mcg/ml). Both genetic investigation and bone marrow biopsy confirmed systemic mastocytosis	Prick by prick tests with almond, walnut, hazelnut, pine nut, pistachio, cashew nut and peanuts were negative, as well as skin prick tests with common inhalants extracts (Dermatophagoides pteronissinus and Der- matophagoides farinae, grass, Alternaria, olive, cypress, Parietaria, cat and dog). He was asked to come back with grapes in order to perform prick by prick with fresh fruit, which resulted positive (5 mm), but parents reported that he usually ate grapes without problems, so an in- depth investigation was carried out on what occurred after dinner. His parents remembered the boy was running with his friends. Moreover, parents reported a previous episode of lip angi- oedema after eating watermelon. At that point a skin prick test was performed with com- mercial extract of peach Lipid Transfer Protein (LTP) and Pru p3 specific IgE was measured, both with positive results (4 mm and 7.03 KUA/L, respectively). Hence, a food-dependent exercise- induced anaphylaxis (FDEIA) was suspected, and after 2 weeks we performed an oral food challenge with grapes only, which was tolerated. Exercise was then considered as a co-factor in a child primarily allergic to LTP	Skin prick tests with commercial extracts (milk, egg white, tomato, soy, wheat, codfish, cocoa) and with all components of ingested nougat (almond, walnut, hazelnut, peanuts, cocoa and egg white) were performed and all resulted negative. A prick by prick (PDP) with nougat itself also resulted positive. Specific IgEs to such foods were negative, too. Finally, PbP with wildflower honey, contained in the nougat, was positive (4 mm). PbP with chestnut honey, which he had been eating since childhood, resulted 2 mm. Skin prick test and s-IgE to bee, Vespula species, Polistes dominilus and Vespa crabro were nega- tive. Oral food challenge with honey was refused by parents. According with the convincing clinical history and the relevant positive PbP, honey- induced anaphylaxis was diagnosed

the patient has a history of repeated hospital visits with no firm evidence of anaphylactic reactions.

Based on the possible diagnosis arising from the anamnesis and clinical examination, different types of tests could be performed (Table 4).

In particular, clinical history should be focused on some peculiarities that differbetween infants, children, and adolescents (Fig. 1). For example, cofactors such as exercise, smoking, alcohol, and psychological distress should not be investigated in infants. On the contrary, other cofactors, such as teeth eruption and vaccinations, should be investigated in infants and children.

Acute management does not differ from classic anaphylaxis. Prompt use of adrenaline is mandatory when cardiovascular and respiratory involvement is recognized. Other medications could be used, such as secondgeneration antihistamines, steroids, or beta2-agonist inhalers, without delaying adrenaline administration. Education is a cornerstone of the chronic management of IA [54]. If a specific or aggravating factor has been identified and further confirmed, pediatric patients and their caregivers should be instructed on its avoidance, and in the case of food allergens, on reading labels and finding out ingredients. Adrenaline autoinjectors (AAIs) must be provided to patients and/or caregivers as soon as discharged, with a written action plan with detailed instructions on when and how to use AAIs and other drugs (oral second-generation antihistamines, oral steroids, beta2-agonistinhalers). Schools and sports coaches should be involved in AAI use, and formal instruction should be provided. Older pediatric patients should be the direct recipients of education on primary prevention and medical treatment of anaphylaxis. Yearly follow-up evaluations should be scheduled to keep track of IA evolution and to target therapy modifications, if

\sim
History
-
inical
e M
_
2
Ta

ANAMNESIS

Clinical history, e.g.:

-patient demographics: age, gender[#], medical and atopic history, ongoing medications, jobs, hobbies, sports

family history (including unusual reactions/clinical manifestations not otherwise diagnosed)

-description of episodes: suspect/known triggers, timing of onset, temperature exposure, time of the day/night, duration, location (e.g. school, home, indoor, outdoor), presence of cofactors* -if further hospital/urgent care access: tests prescribed, therapy needed, response to medications, recovery time or recurrences, need for admission in Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU)

IF DIAGNOSIS SUGGESTS ALLERGY-DRIVEN ANAPHYLXIS

Foods

if fish preparations are a possible trigger: check also for Anisa--ask for new ingredients (e.g., spices, herbs or foods coloring) -ask for new restaurants/food delivery companies kis (Ani s1) [30]

elicit allergic reactions including anaphylaxis. In case of skin insect the bite of Argas Reflexus, a parasite with nocturnal activity, could bites, ask about presence of pigeons nearby. Diagnosis could be

> -investigate for possible vaccine allergens: e.g., gelatin, neomycin allergens [41, 42] must be excluded in case of potential clinical

-although guite rare, Kounis syndrome to drugs or other

manifestations

vitamins, supplements, beauty products as medical products -also consider disinfectants, herbal and alternative products,

Medications

-check for insect or animal bites: e.g., Hymenoptera, fire ants,

spiders, uncommon insects [44]

confirmed with specific lgE determination (Arg r1) [43]

-although rare, pigeon tick could trigger anaphylactic reactions:

Sting/bites

-if wheat is a possible trigger: check for omega-5-gliadin (Tri a19), Tri a14, high-molecular-weight glutenin [31

-if fruit and vegetables are involved: check for oral allergy

syndrome to Lipid Transfer Proteins (LTPs), gibberellin-regulated proteins (GRPs), and oleosins proteins [32

-check presence of bee pollens in honey products [33, 34]

-check presence of edible insect proteins [35]

-ask for consumption of herbal and tea drinks, soft drinks and cocktails [36–38] -if available, read ingredient labels carefully (e.g., synonyms

for food allergens) [39]

-do not forget of pancakes syndrome [40]

Think about allergy to alpha-gal (e.g., mammalian meat or biologic drugs derived from mammalian cell lines), which typically presents as a nocturnal anaphylaxis especially in areas with a high prevalence of tick bites [45][§]

Investigate for possible exposure to latex: e.g., hospital/clinic visits, Band-Aid use, toys, balloons, swimming equipment; cross reactivity with fruits (banana, avocado, kiwi, chestnut)

Aeroallergens: e.g., marijuana

IF THE CLINICAL SCENARIO SUGGESTS A NON-ALLERGEN DRIVEN ANAPHYLAXIS

cells mediators [46]; therefore, a MCAD must be carefully investigated [47, 43] to exclude SM or other MCAD. Although the most common pediatric presentation of mastocytosis is cutaneous masto-1) exclude mast-cellactivation diseases (MCAD): patients, including children, with systemic mastocytosis (SM) have a higher risk of severe anaphylaxis due to mast cell activation and release of mast cytosis [49, 50], both forms should be investigated, as patients could present systemic signs and symptoms suggesting an anaphylactic reaction

exclude HaT: the increase in tryptase should be an indicator to take into account. Be aware that normal tryptase levels vary upon age [51], but a genetic consultation is generally advised if basal tryptase > 8 ng/mL, since more severe reactions are associated with HaT [52, 53] $\widehat{}$

3) consider other medical diseases with signs and symptoms similar to anaphylaxis such as vancomycin therapy (vancomycin infusion reaction). The latter occurs on exposure to the drug through Mas-related G-protein-coupled receptor member X2 (MKGPRX) activation, pheochromocytoma, carcinoid syndrome, medullary thyroid carcinoma, pancreatic cell tumors [54] consider chronic urticaria/angioedema aggravated by NSAIDs 4

Consider complement activation (CARPA) such as preceding exposure to nanomedicines or biological drugs ŝ ^a Pattanaik et al. [55] demonstrated a decrease in IA percentage (from 59 to 35%) after the identification of an alpha-gal allergy

* Investigate for presence of extrinsic cofactors as: infections, exercise [consider food dependent-exercise (FDEIA) (e.g., wheat) and exercise induced anaphylaxis (EIA) [56, 57]], alcohol, medications such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), psychological stress [58], menses, poor sleep, alcohol, oral mucosal lesions [59]

In females of fertile age, consider a progesterone hypersensitivity [60]

Table 4 Diagnostic work-up

IN VIVO TESTS

Skin tests for common aeroallergens and food allergens should be performed, especially if IA episodes are reported within about 2 h from meals or after outdoor activities or contact with animals. With new types of foods being launched on the market, such as insect products, carefully tracing the dietary clinical history is mandatory and PbP should be carried out [63] if no extract-based skin prick tests are available. In patients with known pollen allergies, severe forms of oral allergy syndrome should be considered, in particular in those with LTP sensitizations, which could lead to anaphylactic reactions [64]. While considering possible food allergies, it is important to carefully investigate hidden or uncommon allergens, sometimes used as decorations rather than declared ingredients. As pointed out by Bilò et al. [65], failing to identify food allergens usually depends on mislabeling and cross-contamination

IN VITRO TESTS

Blood tests

Tryptase

The diagnosis can be supported by elevated acute serum tryptase level. Most centers rely on the calculation of a significant increased tryptasemia if the acute total tryptase level is at least 20% plus 2 ng/ml over the patient's basal tryptase level. However, Mateja et al. [66] evaluated that an acute/baseline tryptase ratio of 1.685 has a sensitivity of 94.4% and a specificity of 94.4% for anaphylaxis diagnosis. Moreover, using a low/high clinical suspicion, the cut-off ratio was 1.868 when suspicion was low and 1.374 when suspicion was high. An online calculator (https://triptase-calculator.niaid.nih.gov) is freely available. Although tryptase levels above the defined normal value (e.g., > 11.4 ng/mL in most laboratories) can be a valuable source of information, some cases of anaphylaxis may not be associated with tryptase elevation. Some authors even argued that certain slight variations could be considered as a normal intra-individual fluctuation. Waters et al. [67] have highlighted the importance of obtaining different baseline tryptase values: among their case-series, the suggested formula (20% plus 2) lacks specificity compared to the above mentioned 1.685 threshold ratio (acute/ basal tryptase levels)

The correct evaluation of tryptase (at baseline, possibly more than once, and during acute allergic events) [68] has gained more importance in the last years after the definition of HaT [69, 70]. If baseline levels are greater than 8 ng/mL, it is advisable to consider additional tests for HaT. The scientific debate on normal tryptase values is still ongoing, as some authors propose a 1–15 ng/mL normal values interval [71], while other authors argue for individual ranges [72]. Moreover, few specific data on the topic in the pediatric age have been collected so far

Specific IgE and Component Resolved Diagnostics

Heaps et al. [73] evaluated the use of an allergen microarray (ISAC[®], ThermoFisher, Uppsala, Sweden) to gather more information in patients with IA. In 20% of cases, a "highly likely" allergen was identified, previously not detected with skin or specific IgE tests (although no provocation test was performed to confirm a cause/effect relationship). The Component Resolved Diagnostics (CRD) is a useful diagnostic tool both in routine evaluation (e.g., selection of allergen immunotherapy) and in selected cases, e.g. in IA or in patients with multiple allergies or concomitant diseases [74]. Cardona et al. [32] have recently pointed out that, with CRD, a percentage of IA could be resolved, as some of the most important allergens in the field could only be identified with this diagnostic technique (alpha-gal, omega-5-gliadin, lipid transfer proteins and oleosins). Other tests are available in addition to ISAC[®], such as Immunolyte[®] (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc, Elangen, Germany), Alex2[®] (MADX, MacroArray Diagnostics GmbH, Wien, Austria), Euroline[®] (EUROIMMUN AG, Lubeck, Germany) and FABER[®] (Allergy Data Laboratories srl, Latina, Italy)

Basophils Activation Test and Mast Cell Activation Test (MAT)

Anaphylaxis could rely on different pathogenesis and it could be completely independent from the classical IgE mediated pathways. Activation and degranulation of MCs and basophils could occur through complement cascade, Mas-related G protein-coupled receptor X2 (MRGPRX2) pathway, or even independently from MCs and basophils [75]. A diagnostic aid could be provided by Basophils Activation Test (BAT) and Mast Cells Activation Test (MAT), unfortunately often available for research purposes only

Basophils Activation Test

Basophil Activation tests (BAT) could be helpful to confirm CRD or when standard workup turns out negative. Different allergens are available, including perioperative drugs [76] and Hymenoptera venom [77]

Mast CellActivation Test (MAT)

Another cellular test could be performed, especially when skin tests are not available or indicated [78]. MAT also has some advantages compared to BAT since it does not require fresh samples to be analyzed in a very short timeframe. Moreover, it could be performed with passively sensitized MCs – testing MCs responsiveness beforehand – this way overcoming the non-responders' issue. MATs could be useful in IgE-mediated and non-IgE mediated reactions with reports such as with aeroallergens, foods and several type of medications [79]

Other tests

A complete evaluation should always include a panel of the Complement Pathway (C3, C4, C1-INH functional and quantitative tests, anti-C1-INH antibodies), especially if angioedema is reported

24 h urine collection

A 24-h urine collection to include circadian variations should be considered, so as to exclude some anaphylaxis mimicries such as pheochromocytoma, carcinoid syndrome and medullary thyroid carcinoma. Tests may include histamine levels and its metabolites (such as N-methylhistamine or methylimidazole acetic acid), catecholamines and its metabolites (such as dopamine, adrenaline, noradrenaline, vanillylmandelic acid, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid), chromogranin A, prostaglandin D2 and leukotriene C4. However, their cutoff levels, specificity, and sensitivities are not well established [54]

Evaluation for mast cellactivation diseases

A specific mention must be made about the evaluation of MCAD, which includes mastocytosis and MCAS. To formalize a MCAD diagnosis, three diagnostic criteria should be fulfilled: clinical manifestations, MCs activation markers and response to therapy [80]. Once diagnosed, MCAD could be further classified as primary, secondary and idiopathic [81]. Primary MCAD recognizes a clonal origin (such as point mutation D816V in c-KIT and/or aberrant CD25 expression). In secondary MCAS, a non-clonal MCs population is responsible, as MCs are shown to be normal in quantity and function and activated by IgE mediated and non-IgE mediated pathways, such as MRGPRX2 or complement cascade, or physical factors as exercise. In idiopathic MCAS, in which AI has been proposed to be included [19], none of the abovementioned mechanisms could be demonstrated. The importance of a correct and prompt MCAD diagnosis has been addressed by several recent papers [82–85]. Giannetti et al. [86] have also provided specific indications and diagnostic algorithm for the pediatric age

Bone marrow biopsy

REMA o NICAS score could be used to determine when to perform bone marrow biopsy in patients with recurrent mast cell-mediated symptoms or recurrent IA episodes [48, 87–89]. However, it has been suggested that children, unless demonstrated involvement of spleen, liver, lymph nodes, and peripheral blood are present, do not need to perform a bone marrow biopsy [86, 90]

PROVOCATION TESTS

Provocation tests

After the identification of a possible specific allergen through clinical history or through a positive allergy test, a provocation test (PTs) could be necessary to confirm the diagnosis. PTs must be performed in hospital settings with specialized personnel and equipment [91]. In case of drug hypersensitivity, if a PT for the culprit drug is not indicated, an alternative drug should be identified [92]. PTs could be also associated with exercise to diagnose FDEIA [93]



* Investigate mother's habits/diet/drugs intake Fig. 1 Focused clinical history according to different age ranges

necessary. Patients and caregivers should be advised to avoid all drugs that increase the risk of severe episodes, such as beta-blocking agents, ACE-Is, angiotensin receptor blockers, monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs), and tricyclic antidepressants (e.g., amitriptyline) [94] If such drugs are necessary, patients should be aware that an impaired effect of adrenaline may occur. As reported by Carter et al. [58], the identification of possible cofactors has a pivotal role in the diagnosis, management, and prevention of further episodes of IA. According to this work, there is also an association between the severity of anaphylaxis and several intrinsic/extrinsic cofactors, age being the most important, followed by concomitant mastocytosis and insects as allergens.

Following diagnosis, it is important to properly classify all patients with IA in order to determine the

correct treatment (Fig. 2). IA is commonly categorized as follows [29, 65, 95]:

- Frequency: infrequent, fewerthan six episodes/year or fewer than two episodes/two months; frequent, more than six episodes/year or more than two episodes/two months;
- Severity: malignant, patient requires a high dose of steroids for disease control (60 mg of prednisone every other day or 30 mg prednisone daily); corticosteroid-dependent if the IA episodes are difficult to control without steroids;
- Clinical scenario: generalized with urticaria and/ or angioedema and systemic manifestations; angioedema-predominant with angioedema with laryngeal involvement and compromised airway, no other systemic manifestations.

		Frequent IA	Severe Not-controlled
	Frequent IA Preventive Treatment		Adding Treatments: Antileokotrienes Ketotifene
Infrequent IA	Mild-Moderate	HI antagonists (up to 4 times)	Biologics (anti-lgF
Treatment of the acute	Preventive Treatment: H1 antagonists (up to 4	H2 antagonists Corticosteroids	monoclonal antibodies)
episode according to the Action plan	times) H2 antagonists (Corticosteroids)	(60 mg of prednisone every other day, or 30 mg prednisone daily)	Cromolyn

Frequent IA

Fig. 2 Steps approach of IA treatment

In infrequent IA, there is usually no need for preventive therapy. If the patient is classified with frequent IA, it is reasonable to start a prophylactic therapy, as suggested by many authors, with prednisone and cetirizine daily. H1 receptor antagonists (commonly second-generation antihistamines) could be used with a dose of up to four times per day, as in chronic urticaria. H2 receptor antagonists could also be added to prevent gastric side effects in those who have been treated with oral steroids [54]. In children, particularly those with mild to moderate severity, H1 receptor antagonists and H2 receptor antagonists are preferred [54]. If IA is controlled, a tapering of steroids or an every-other-day scheme could be considered, maintaining daily cetirizine. If IA is not controlled, a step-back to daily prednisone should be made for 1 to 2 weeks [96]. Other suggested therapies, especially in malignant IA or when steroids are needed in high doses, could be adding montelukast (which seems to be effective in children with asthma) and cromolyn in children with gastrointestinal clinical manifestations [54]. Ketotifen could sometimes be helpful in controlling signs and symptoms of urticaria, thanks to its mast cell stabilizer effect [97]. Calcineurin inhibitors and Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors are studied in chronic urticaria, but there are no data on their performance on IA. Omalizumab has been proven to be an interesting therapeutic option in IA, generally at the same dosage as in chronic urticaria. Six months could be sufficient as a trial period to assess its efficacy. Kaminsky et al. [98] demonstrated its efficacy in 38 patients (age range 11–54) with IA who failed to respond to second-generation antihistamines and mast cell stabilizers. Of the patients, 63% showed a complete response, 28.5% showed a partial response, and three patients were considered non-responders. There is also additional experience in the pediatric age expressed as case reports but mostly in adolescents [98-103]. Furthermore, there are also a few case reports on the use of dupilumab in IA [104].

Nowadays, the diagnosis and management of IA still remain challenging for clinicians. Prompt recognition of signs and symptoms, especially in younger children, and an accurate clinical history often allow a choice of the most appropriate diagnostic tests and a correct differential diagnosis. It is important, however, to not forget about the rarer conditions that are becoming more frequently diagnosed thanks to the innovations. Over the past decades, the recognition and improvement of knowledge of several novel clinical entities mentioned above have led to a decrease in the percentage of IA, even in the pediatric age group. Nonetheless, further extensive research based on international data is needed, especially regarding those in the infant to adolescent age groups

with IA, to improve its management worldwide.

Abbreviations

///////////////////////////////////////	5
IA	Idiopathic anaphylaxis
MCAS	Mast cell activation syndrome
NIAID/FAAN	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases/Food
	Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network
EAACI	Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology
WAO	World Allergy Organization
ASCIA	Australia Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy
AAAI	American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology
ED	Emergency department
DAO	Diamine oxidase
HMT	Histamine N-methyltransferase
HaT	Hereditary alpha tryptasemia
SPT	Skin prick tests
PbP	Prick by test
LTP	Lipid Transfer Protein
FDEIA	Food-dependent, exercise-induced anaphylaxis
MCAD	Mast cell activation diseases
SM	Systemic mastocytosis
MRGPRX	Mas-related G-protein-coupled receptor member X2
NSAIDs	Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
CARPA	Consider complement activation
HAE	Hereditary angioedema
ACE-I	Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors
HaT	Hereditary alpha-tryptasemia
CRD	Component Resolved Diagnostics
MAT	Mast Cell Activation Test
BAT	Basophils Activation Test
MCs	Mast cells
PT	Provocation test
AAIs	Adrenaline autoinjectors
MAOIs	Monoamine oxidase inhibitors

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

FM and FS designed the work, acquired, analyzed the data, drafted the initial manuscript and MG (Mattia Giovannini) reviewed the manuscript. FM, FS, SB, RC, MG (Mariannita Gelsomino), LC, LP, SA, CM, AK, and MMdelG analyzed the data and reviewed the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

M.G. (Mattia Giovannini) reports personal fees from Sanofi. Other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Received: 10 May 2024 Accepted: 20 July 2024 Published online: 09 August 2024

References

- Gulen T, Akin C. Idiopathic Anaphylaxis: a Perplexing Diagnostic Challenge for Allergists. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2021;21:11–20. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11882-021-00988-y.
- Ditto AM, Krasnick J, Greenberger PA, Kelly KJ, McGrath K, Patterson R. Pediatric idiopathic anaphylaxis: experience with 22 patients. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1997;100(3):320–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0091-6749(97)70244-6.
- Calvani M, Cardinale F, Martelli A, Muraro A, Pucci N, Savino F, et al. The Italian Society of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology (SIAIP) anaphylaxis' study group. Risk factors for severe pediatric food anaphylaxis in Italy. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2011;22(8):813–9.
- Grabenhenrich LB, Dölle S, Moneret-Vautrin A, Köhli A, Lange L, Spindler T, et al. Anaphylaxis in children and adolescents: The European Anaphylaxis Registry. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016;137(4):1128-1137.e1.
- Ganapathy S, Lwin Z, Ting DHA, Goh LSH. Anaphylaxis in Children: Experience of 485 Episodes in 1,272,482 Patient Attendances at a Tertiary Paediatric Emergency Department from 2007 to 2014. Ann Acad Med Singap. 2016;45(12):542–8 PMID: 28062882.
- Wright CD, Longjohn M, Lieberman PL, Lieberman JA. An analysis of anaphylaxis cases at a single pediatric emergency department during a 1-year period. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2017;118:461–4. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2017.02.002.
- Lee AY, Enarson P, Clarke AE, La Vieille S, Eisman H, Chan ES, et al. Anaphylaxis across two Canadian pediatric cen ters: evaluating management disparities. J Asthma Allergy. 2016;30(10):1–7. https://doi.org/10. 2147/JAA.S123053.
- Le M, Gabrielli S, Clarke A, Eisman H, Morris J, Gravel J, et al. Emergency Management of Anaphylaxis Due to an Unknown Trigger: An 8-Year Follow-Up Study in Canada. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(4):1166-1173.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2018.11.015.
- Gaspar A, Santos N, Faria E, Pereira AM, Gomes E, Câmara R et al, and the Portuguese Society of Allergology and Clinical Immunology (SPAIC) Anaphylaxis Interest Group. Anaphylaxis in children and adolescents: The Portuguese Anaphylaxis Registry. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2021;32(6):1278–1286 https://doi.org/10.1111/pai.13511
- Jares EJ, Cardona V, Gómez MR, Bernstein JA, Rosario Filho NA, Cherrez-Ojeda I, et al. Latin American anaphylaxis registry. World Allergy Organ J. 2023;16.
- 11. Bacal E, Patterson R, Zeiss CR. Evaluation of severe (anaphylactic) reactions. Clin Allergy. 1978;8(3):295–304. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.1978.tb03227.x.
- 12. Dykewicz MS, Blaser M, Evans R III, Patterson R. Pediatric idiopathic anaphylaxis: a report of three cases with recommendations for evaluation and management. Pediatr Asthma Allergy Immunol. 1990;4:217–23.
- Ditto AM, Patterson R, Sider L. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, idiopathic anaphylaxis and cystic fibrosis in a 9 year old: a case report. Pediatr Asthma Allergy Immunol. 1995;9:107–15.
- Wiggins C, Dykewicz M, Patterson R. Idiopathic anaphylaxis: Classification, evaluation, and treatment of 123 patients. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 1988;82(5):849–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-6749(88)90089.
- Patterson R, Ditto A, Dykewicz M S, Greenberger P A, Harris K E, Kelly K J, et al. Pediatric idiopathic anaphylaxis: additional cases and extended observations. Pediatr Asthma Allergy Immunol. 1995;9(1):43–7.
- González de Olano D, Cain WV, Bernstein JA, Akin C. Disease Spectrum of Anaphylaxis Disorders. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2023;11(7) 1989–1996 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2023.05.012
- Conner JE, Steinberg JA. Approach to Idiopathic Anaphylaxis in Adolescents. Med Clin North Am. 2024;108(1):123–55. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.mcna.2023.05.018.Epub2023Jul11.PMID:37951646.).
- Hammond C. Revisiting the Definition of Anaphylaxis. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2023;23:249–54.

- Giannetti MP, Akin C, Castells M. Idiopathic Anaphylaxis: A Form of Mast Cell Activation Syndrome. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020;8(4):1196–201.
- 20. Akin C. Mast cell activation syndromes. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2017;140(2):349.
- Sampson HA, Muoz-Furlong A, Campbell RL, Adkinson NF, Bock SA, Branum A, et al. Second symposium on the definition and management of anaphylaxis: summary report–Second National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease/Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network symposium. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2006;117:391–7.
- Muraro A, Worm M, Alviani C, Cardona V, DunnGalvin A, Garvey LH, et al. European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Food Allergy, Anaphylaxis Guidelines Group. EAACI guidelines: Anaphylaxis (2021 update). Allergy. 2022;77(2):357–377. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.15032. Epub 2021 Sep 1. PMID: 34343358.
- Muraro A, Roberts G, Clark A, Eigenmann PA, Halken S, Lack G, et al. EAACI Task Force on Anaphylaxis in Children. The management of anaphylaxis in childhood: position paper of the European academy of allergology and clinical immunology. Allergy. 2007;62(8):857–71
- Cardona V, Ansotegui IJ, Ebisawa M, El-Gamal Y, Fernandez Rivas M, Fineman S, et al. World Allergy Organization anaphylaxis guidance 2020. World Allergy Organ J. 2020;13.
- Acute management of anaphylaxis. Australia Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy. Available at: https://www.allergy.org.au/hp// papers/acute-management-of-anaphylaxis-guidelines. Accessed 13 July 2021.
- Shaker MS, Wallace DV, Golden DBK, Oppenheimer J, Bernstein JA, Campbell RL, et al. Anaphylaxis: a 2020 practice parameter update, systematic review, and Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020;145:1082–123.
- 27. lvkovic-Jurekovic I. Idiopathic Anaphylaxis and Histamine Intolerance. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2015;26(7):685–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/pai.12438.
- Tedeschi A, Lorini M, Suli C, Cugno M. Detection of serum histaminereleasing factors in a patient with idiopathic anaphylaxis and multiple drug allergy syndrome. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2007;17:122–5.
- Lenchner K, Grammer LC. A current review of idiopathic anaphylaxis. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2003;3:305–11.
- Pontone M, Giovannini M, Barni S, Mori F, Venturini E, Galli L, et al. IgEmediated Anisakis allergy in children. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2023;51(1):98–109. https://doi.org/10.15586/aei.v51i1.692. PMID: 36617828.
- Perlman L, Gabrielli S, Clarke AE, Delli Colli L, Delli Colli M, Morris J, et al. Pediatric wheat-induced anaphylaxis from the Cross-Canada Anaphylaxis Registry: Clinical characteristics and management. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2023;11(8):2592-2594.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip. 2023.05.024.
- Cardona V, Guilarte M, Labrador-Horrillo M. Molecular diagnosis usefulness for idiopathic anaphylaxis. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020;20(3):248–52. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACI.0000000000062.
- Di Costanzo M, De Paulis N, Peveri S, Montagni M, Berni Canani R, Biasucci G. Anaphylaxis caused by artisanal honey in a child: a case report. J Med Case Rep. 2021;15(1):235. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13256-021-02823-4.
- Tuncel T, Uysal P, Hocaoglu AB, Erge DO, Firinci F, Karaman O, et al. Anaphylaxis caused by honey ingestion in an infant. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2011;39(2):112–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aller.2010.04. 007. Epub 2011 Jan 3. PMID: 21208720.
- de Gier S, Verhoeckx K. Insect (food) allergy and allergens. Mol Immunol. 2018;100:82–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2018.03.015.
- Nakagawa M, Hanada M, Amano H. A case of anaphylactic reaction to chamomile tea in a patient with mugwort pollinosis. Allergol Int. 2019;68(3):396–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alit.2019.02.011.
- Jung CG, Yang EM, Lee JH, Kim SH, Park HS, Shin YS. Coca-Cola allergy identified as fructose-induced anaphylaxis. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2018;6(5):1787–1789.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2018.02. 003.
- Brussino L, Nicola S, Giorgis V, Rolla G. Beer anaphylaxis due to coriander as hidden allergen. BMJ Case Rep. 2018;2018:bcr2018225562. https:// doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2018-225562.

- Capucilli P, Kennedy K, Kazatsky AM, Cianferoni A, Spergel JM. Fruit for thought: anaphylaxis to fruit pectin in foods. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(2):719–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2018.11.047.
- Preiser-Funke T, Bergmann KC. Oral mite anaphylaxis (pancake syndrome) caused by storage mite Acarus siro and its treatment with allergen immunotherapy. Allergol Select. 2023;28(7):113–5. https://doi. org/10.5414/ALX02415E.
- Giovannini M, Alletto A, Koniari I, Mori F, Favilli S, Sarti L, et al. Kounis Syndrome: a pediatric perspective. Minerva Pediatr. 2020;72:383–92. https://doi.org/10.23736/S0026-4946.20.06007-7.
- 42. Biteker M, Duran NE, Biteker FS, et al. Allergic myocardial infarction in childhood: Kounis syndrome. Eur J Pediatr. 2010;169:27e29
- Rolla G, Heffler E, Boita M, Doyen V, Mairesse M, Cvackova M, et al. Pigeon tick bite: a neglected cause of idiopathic nocturnal anaphylaxis. Allergy Eur J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018;73:958–61.
- Sturm GJ, Boni E, Antolín-Amérigo D, Bilò MB, Breynaert C, Fassio F, et al. Allergy to stings and bites from rare or locally important arthropods: Worldwide distribution, available diagnostics and treatment. Allergy. 2023;78(8):2089–108. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.15769.
- Saretta F, Giovannini M, Mori F, Arasi S, Liotti L, Pecoraro L, et al. Alpha-Gal Syndrome in Children: Peculiarities of a "Tick-Borne" Allergic Disease. Front Pediatr. 2021;23(9): 801753. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2021. 801753.
- Brockow K, Jofer C, Behrendt H, Ring J. Anaphylaxis in patients with mastocytosis: a study on history, clinical features and risk factors in 120 patients. Allergy 2008; 63: 226e232
- Wilcock A, Bahri R, Bulfone-Paus S, Arkwright PD. Mast cell disorders: From infancy to maturity. Allergy. 2019;74(1):53–63. https://doi.org/10. 1111/all.13657.
- Carter MC, Desai A, Komarow HD, Bai Y, Clayton ST, Clark AS, et al. A distinct biomolecular profile identifies monoclonal mast cell disorders in patients with idiopathic anaphylaxis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018:141:180–8.
- Tiano R, Krase IZ, Sacco K. Updates in diagnosis and management of paediatric mastocytosis. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2023;23(2):158–63. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACI.00000000000869.
- Castells M, Metcalfe D, Escribano L. Diagnosis and Treatment of Cutaneous Mastocytosis in Children. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2011;12:259–70.
- Slot MC, Claessen LHJ, Bons JAP, Menheere PPCA, Nieuwhof CMG, de Boer D. Tryptase reference ranges are age-dependent in a large population-based cohort. Allergy. 2022;77(9):2833–4. https://doi.org/10. 1111/all.15369.
- Lang A, Kubala S, Grieco MC, Mateja A, Pongracic J, Liu Y, et al. Severe food allergy reactions are associated with α-tryptase. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2023:S0091–6749(23)00979-X. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci. 2023.07.014 Epub ahead of print
- Lyons JJ, Chovanec J, O'Connell MP, Liu Y, Šelb J, Zanotti R, et al. Heritable risk for severe anaphylaxis associated with increased α-tryptaseencoding germline copy number at TPSAB1. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;147(2):622–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.06.035.
- Carter MC, Akin C, Castells MC, Scott EP, Lieberman P. Idiopathic anaphylaxis yardstick: Practical recommendations for clinical practice. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2020;124(1):16–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. anai.2019.08.024.
- Pattanaik D, Lieberman P, Lieberman J, Pongdee T, Tran Keene A. The changing face of anaphylaxis in adults and adolescents. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2018; 121:594–597
- Du Toit G. Food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis in childhood. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2007;18(5):455–63. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1399-3038.2007.00599.x.
- Giannetti MP. Exercise-Induced Anaphylaxis: Literature Review and Recent Updates. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2018;18(12):72. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11882-018-0830-6.
- Carter MC, Park J, Vadas P, Worm M. Extrinsic and Intrinsic Modulators of Anaphylaxis. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2023;11(7):1998–2006. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2023.05.015.
- Mori F, Pessina B, Giovannini M, Liccioli G, Sarti L, Paladini E, et al. Eruption of Permanent Teeth As Risk Factor for Allergic Reactions During Oral Immunotherapy. Pediatr Allergy Immunol Pulmonol. 2023;36(2):41–5. https://doi.org/10.1089/ped.2023.0018. PMID: 37159401.

- Meggs WJ, Pescovitz OH, Metcalfe D, Loriaux DL, Cutler G Jr, Kaliner M. Progesterone sensitivity as a cause of recurrent anaphylaxis. N Engl J Med. 1984;311:1236–8.
- Wong HC. Factitious anaphylaxis and pre-varication anaphylaxis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2005;116(3):710; author reply 710. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaci.2005.04.040
- Rosloff DA, Patel K, Feustel PJ, Celestin J. Criteria positive and criteria negative anaphylaxis, with a focus on undifferentiated somatoform idiopathic anaphylaxis: A review and case series. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2020;41(6):436–41. https://doi.org/10.2500/aap.2020.41.200076.
- 63. Olivieri B, Skypala IJ. New arrivals in anaphylaxis to foods. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACI.000000000 000936.
- Ridolo E, Pucciarini F, Kihlgren P, Barone A, Nicoletta F, Peveri S, et al. Lipid transfer protein syndrome: How to save a life through careful education. World Allergy Organ J. 2022;15(9): 100683. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.waojou.2022.100683.
- Bilò MB, Martini M, Tontini C, Mohamed OE, Krishna MT. Idiopathic anaphylaxis. Clin Exp Allergy. 2019;49:942–52. https://doi.org/10.1111/ cea.13402.
- Mateja A, Wang Q, Chovanec J, Kim J, Wilson KJ, Schwartz LB, et al. Defining baseline variability of serum tryptase levels improves accuracy in identifying anaphylaxis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2022;149(3):1010-1017.e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2021.08.007.
- Waters AM, Park HJ, Weskamp AL, Mateja A, Kachur ME, Lyons JJ, et al. Elevated Basal Serum Tryptase: Disease Distribution and Variability in a Regional Health System. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2022;10(9):2424-2435.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2021.12.031.
- Pongdee T, Castells M. Elevated Tryptase: Conditions and Pitfalls. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2022;10(9):2436–7. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jaip.2022.06.028.
- Lyons JJ, Sun G, Stone KD, Nelson C, Wisch L, O'Brien M, et al. Mendelian inheritance of elevated serum tryptase associated with atopy and connective tissue abnormalities. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014;133:1471–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci2013.11.039.
- Lyons JJ, Hughes JD, Le QT, Le QT, Jamil A, Bai Y, et al. Elevated basal serum tryptase identifies a multisystem disorder associated with increased TPSAB1 copy number. Nat Genet. 2016;48:1564–9.
- Valent P, Hoermann G, Bonadonna P, Hartmann K, Sperr WR, Broesby-Olsen S, et al. The normal range of baseline tryptase should be 1–15 ng/ml and covers healthy individuals with hereditary alpha tryptasemia. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2023;S2213–2198(23):00907–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2023.08.008.
- Chovanec J, Tunc I, Hughes J, Halstead J, Mateja A, Liu Y, et al. Genetically defined individual reference ranges for tryptase limit unnecessary procedures and unmask myeloid neoplasms. Blood Adv. 2023;7(9):1796–810. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.20220 07936.
- Heaps A, Carter S, Selwood C, Unsworth J, Deacock S, Sumar N, et al. The utility of the ISAC allergen array in the investigation of idiopathic anaphylaxis. Clin Exp Immunol. 2014;177:483–90.
- Patelis A, Borres MP, Kober A, Berthold M. Multiplex componentbased allergen microarray in recent clinical studies. Clin Exp Allergy. 2016;46(8):1022–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.12761.
- Ebo DG, Beyens M, Heremans K, van der Poorten MM, Van Gasse AL, Mertens C, et al. Recent Knowledge and Insights on the Mechanisms of Immediate Hypersensitivity and Anaphylaxis: IgE/FcɛRI- and Non-IgE/ FcɛRI-Dependent Anaphylaxis. Curr Pharm Des. 2023;29(3):178–84. https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612829666221025091827.
- Mertes PM, Tacquard C. Perioperative anaphylaxis: when the allergological work-up goes negative. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2023;23(4):287–93. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACI.00000000000912.
- Bonadonna P, Korosec P, Nalin F, Golden DBK. Venom Anaphylaxis: Decision Points for a More Aggressive Workup. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2023;11(7):2024–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2023.04.016.
- Ebo DG, Heremans K, Beyens M, van der Poorten MM, Van Gasse AL, Mertens C, et al. Flow-based allergen testing: Can mast cells beat basophils? Clin Chim Acta. 2022;532:64–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cca.2022.05.014.
- 79. Elst J, van der Poorten MM, Van Gasse AL, De Puysseleyr L, Hagendorens MM, Faber MA, et al. Mast cell activation tests by flow cytometry: A new

diagnostic asset? Clin Exp Allergy. 2021;51(11):1482–500. https://doi. org/10.1111/cea.13984.

- Valent P, Akin C, Arock M, Brockow K, Butterfield JH, Carter MC, et al. Definitions, criteria and global classification of mast cell disorders with special reference to mast cell activation syndromes: a consensus proposal. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2012;157:215–25.
- Valent P, Akin C, Bonadonna P, Hartmann K, Brockow K, Niedoszytko M, et al. Proposed diagnostic algorithm for patients with suspected mast cell activation syndrome. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7:1125-11233.e1.
- Sabato V, Michel M, Blank U, Ebo DG, Vitte J. Mast cell activation syndrome: is anaphylaxis part of the phenotype? A systematic review. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;21(5):426–34. https://doi.org/10.1097/ ACI.000000000000768.
- Zhang S, Bernstein JA. Mast cell activation syndrome: Myths and realities. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2021;42(3):198–204. https://doi.org/10.2500/ aap.2021.42.210012.
- Gülen T, Akin C, Bonadonna P, Siebenhaar F, Broesby-Olsen S, Brockow K, et al. Selecting the Right Criteria and Proper Classification to Diagnose Mast Cell Activation Syndromes: A Critical Review. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021;9(11):3918–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2021.06.011.
- Valent P, Hartmann K, Bonadonna P, Niedoszytko M, Triggiani M, Arock M, et al. Mast Cell Activation Syndromes: Collegium Internationale Allergologicum Update 2022. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2022;183(7):693– 705. https://doi.org/10.1159/000524532.
- Giannetti A, Filice E, Caffarelli C, Ricci G, Pession A. Mast Cell Activation Disorders. Medicina (Kaunas). 2021;57(2):124. https://doi.org/10.3390/ medicina57020124.
- Rama TA, Torrado I, Henriques AF, Sánchez-Muñoz L, Jara-Acevedo M, Navarro-Navarro P, et al. Mast Cell Activation Syndromes: Comparison Between Two Scoring Models to Predict for Mast Cell Clonality. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2023;11(3):908-919.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jaip.2022.11.042.
- Theoharides TC, Valent P, Akin C. Mast Cells, Mastocytosis, and Related Disorders. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:163–72.
- Alvarez-Twose I, González-de-Olano D, Sánchez-Muñoz L, Matito A, Jara-Acevedo M, Teodosio C, et al. Validation of the REMA score for predicting mast cell clonality and systemic mastocytosis in patients with systemic mast cell activation symptoms. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2012;157(3):275–80. https://doi.org/10.1159/000329856.
- Broesby-Olsen S, Carter M, Kjaer HF, Mortz CG, Moller MB, Kristensen TK, at al. Pediatric expression of mast cell activation disorders. Immunol. Allergy Clin. N. Am. 2018, 38, 365–377
- Sampson HA, Gerth van Wijk R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Sicherer S, Teuber SS, Burks AW, et al. Standardizing double-blind, placebo-controlled oral food challenges: American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology-European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology PRACTALL consensus report. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;130(6):1260–74. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.10.017
- Gomes ER, Brockow K, Kuyucu S, Saretta F, Mori F, Blanca-Lopez N, et al. ENDA/EAACI Drug Allergy Interest Group. Drug hypersensitivity in children: report from the pediatric task force of the EAACI Drug Allergy Interest Group. Allergy. 2016;71:149–61
- Asaumi T, Yanagida N, Sato S, Shukuya A, Nishino M, Ebisawa M. Provocation tests for the diagnosis of food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2016;27(1):44–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/pai.12489.
- Lieberman PL. Idiophatic Anaphylaxis. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2014;35:17– 23. https://doi.org/10.2500/aap.2014.35.3717.
- 95. Greenberger PA. Idiopathic anaphylaxis. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2007; 27(2):273–293. PMID 17493503
- Fenny N, Grammer LC. Idiopathic anaphylaxis. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2015;35(2):349–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2015.01.004. Epub 2015 Mar 6 PMID: 25841556.
- Sokol KC, Amar NK, Starkey J, Grant JA. Ketotifen in the management of chronic urticaria: resurrection of an old drug. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2013;111:433e436
- 98. Kaminsky LW, Aukstuolis K, Petroni DH, Al-Shaikhly T. Use of omalizumab for management of idiopathic anaphylaxis: A systematic

review and retrospective case series. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2021;127(4):481–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2021.06.017.

- Pitt TJ, Cisneros N, Kalicinsky C, Becker AB. Successful treatment of idiopathic anaphylaxis in an adolescent. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126(2):415–6.
- Warrier P, Casale TB. Omalizumab in idiopathic anaphylaxis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2009;102(3):257–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1081-1206(10)60091-9.
- Bell MC, Jackson DJ. Prevention of anaphylaxis related to mast cell activation syn-drome with omalizumab. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2012;108(5):383–4.
- Sanchez-Valenzuela MC, Garcia-Saucedo JC, Motoa G, Carrillo-Martin I, Gonzalez-Estrada A. Treatment of idiopathic anaphylaxis with omalizumab. Ann AllergyAsthma Immunol. 2019;123(6):612–3.
- Ozdemir O, Bozkurt HB, Elmas B. Omalizumab's role in the treatment of steroid dependent malignant idiopathic anaphylaxis. Turk Pediatr Ars. 2017;52(2):105–7.
- Rossavik E, Lam W, Wiens L. M003 novel use of dupilumab in a patient with idio- pathic anaphylaxis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2020;125(5):S54–5.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.