

RESEARCH Open Access

Effects of maternal epidural analgesia on the neonate - a prospective cohort study

Bikash Shrestha^{1*}, Amit Devgan² and Mukti Sharma²

Abstract

Background: Epidural analgesia is one of the most popular modes of analgesia for child birth. There are controversies regarding adverse effects and safety of epidural analgesia. This study was conducted to study the immediate effects of the maternal epidural analgesia on the neonate during early neonatal phase.

Methods: A prospective cohort study of 100 neonates born to mothers administered epidural analgesia were compared with 100 neonates born to mothers not administered epidural analgesia in terms of passage of urine, initiation of breast feeding, birth asphyxia and incidence of instrumentation.

Results: There was significant difference among the two groups in the passage of urine (P value 0.002) and incidence of instrumentation (P value 0.010) but there was no significant difference in regards to initiation of breast feeding and birth asphyxia.

Conclusions: Epidural analgesia does not have any effect on the newborns in regards to breast feeding and birth asphyxia but did have effects like delayed passage of urine and increased incidence of instrumentation.

Keywords: Birth asphyxia, Breast feeding, Epidural analgesia, Neonate, Newborns

Background

Safe neonatal outcome is the ultimate aim of any delivery. Pain management is a major issue and part of normal labours. Among various modes of pain management, epidural analgesia is considered a very safe and popular mode of analgesia for child birth [1-3]. Considering use of various types of analgesia, it would be desirable to know the adverse effects of any analgesia being used. Epidural analgesia is one of the extensively studied modes of analgesia in labours. Most studies which have been conducted over epidural analgesia primarily focus on the maternal parameters [3-6]. Despite its popularity, epidural analgesia has remained controversial in regards to its safety [4-6]. The meta-analysis regarding the safety of epidural analgesia has remained inconclusive [7,8]. Considering the controversial aspects of epidural analgesia, we intended to study the immediate effects of epidural analgesia in the newborns born to mothers with epidural analgesia and compare with the newborns born to mothers without epidural analgesia.

Methods and methodology

Methods

100 consecutive mothers who were given epidural analgesia and 100 mothers who were not given epidural analgesia for normal labours were enrolled into the study. The neonates born to two groups of mothers were compared in regards to the time of passage of urine, the initiation of onset of breast feeding, birth asphyxia and instrumentation in the form of vacuum or forceps delivery.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The mothers who were regularly followed up in our antenatal clinic were included in the study after taking the informed consent. Caesarean sections, preterms (Less than 37 weeks of completed gestation), low birth weights (Less than 2.5 kg), antenatally detected major congenital anomalies, multiple gestations, high risk antenatal factors like gestational diabetes, pregnancy induced hypertension, recurrent abortions, elderly primigravida (Above 40 years) and those who did not provide consent were excluded from the study.



^{*} Correspondence: kalmaan@yahoo.com

¹Department of Pediatrics, Nepalese Army Institute of Health Sciences, Shree Birendra Hospital, Swayambhu, Chhauni, Kathmandu 44620, Nepal Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Study design, sample size and place of study

Incidence of epidural analgesia is around 3-5% of all labours in our institute. Considering the delivery rate of around 3000 per year, 100 cases each of epidural analgesia and 100 controls without epidural analgesia was determined sample size. The prospective cohort study was conducted in a tertiary care teaching hospital in India between Jan 2012 and Jan 2013. The study was approved by the institutional review board committee of Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nashik, India.

Methodology

Epidural analgesia is given voluntarily to the normal delivery cases in our institute. Informed written consent was taken from the participants. The pregnant ladies who demanded analgesia for labour pain were provided with epidural analgesia, consisting of 10 ml of 0.125% bupivacaine & 20 mcg fentanyl. The neonates born were followed up to 3 days to note the various study parameters including passage of urine, onset of breast feeding, birth asphyxia and instrumental interventions if any. The study performa were filled up by the duty resident every day during the morning and evening rounds. During the same period, neonates who were born to mothers without epidural analgesia were also followed up and various parameters noted. The results were compared among the two groups and statistical analysis was done using the software Epi Info 3.5.1 and P value was calculated by Chi square test and Fisher's exact test. P value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 represents the comparative baseline maternal demographic data of the two groups in regards to parity,

Table 1 Baseline maternal demographic data compared between the epidural and non epidural groups

	Cases	Control	P value
Parity			
Primi	59	47	0.118
Multi	41	53	
Age group			
< 20 years	9	4	
20-30 years	89	92	0.267
> 30 years	2	4	
Address			
Within same district	82	91	0.096
Out of same district	18	9	
Religion			
Hindu	88	85	
Muslim	11	12	0.578
Christian	1	3	

age group, the addresses and the religions. The baseline maternal data between the two groups are non significant. Table 2 represents the sexes and the different weight groups of newborns in the two groups which were comparable to each other.

The timing of passage of urine by the newborns that were born with and without epidural analgesia has been represented in Table 3. The passage of urine in first six hours, then between six and 24 hours and more than 24 hours were noted. 42 newborns in epidural analgesia group and 58 newborns in non epidural analgesia group had passed urine in the first 6 hours. In the six-24 hours group, there were 49 newborns in epidural group and 42 in non epidural group. There were total 9 newborns that passed urine beyond 24 hours and all of them were in epidural analgesia group. The P value was highly significant among the two groups (P value-0.002). Thus, the results have shown that in newborns born to mothers with epidural analgesia, there is higher tendency to pass urine later than the newborns without epidural analgesia.

The timing of initiation of breast feeding among the newborns those were born to mothers with and without epidural analgesia is shown in Table 4. The timing of breast feeding was divided into 3 groups, 0-six hours, six-24 hours and more than 24 hours. In epidural group and non epidural group, there were 96 and 98 newborns each who had established breast feeding successfully within six hours. Only one newborn in both the groups had established breast feeding between six-24 hours. In epidural group, there were three cases and in non epidural analgesia, there was only one case where breast feeding was established after 24 hours. The P value among the two groups was not significant (P value 0.60).

The number of birth asphyxia which has occurred are tabulated in Table 5. In epidural analgesia group, three had birth asphyxia and in non epidural analgesia, only one had birth asphyxia. Although higher number of birth asphyxias had occurred in epidural group, it was not statistically significant (P value 0.621).

The number of instrumental deliveries which had taken place in the two groups has been depicted in Table 6. Of

Table 2 The sex and weight groups of the newborns in the epidural and non epidural groups

	Cases	Control	P value
Sex			
Male	53	47	0.479
Female	47	53	
Birth weight group			
2.5-3 kg	60	69	
3-3.5 kg	37	28	0.391
3.5-4 kg	3	3	

Table 3 The timing of passage of urine in the epidural and non epidural groups

Time of passage of urine	Cases	Control	Total	P value
0-6 hours	42	58	110	
6-24 hours	49	42	91	0.002
>24 hours	9	0	9	
Total	100	100	200	

the total 13 instrumental deliveries, which included both vacuum and forceps, 11 were from epidural analgesia group and only two were from non epidural analgesia group. The result in the two groups was highly significant (P value 0.010).

Discussion

We had studied the various parameters in the newborns born to epidural analgesia group and compared with the newborns born to mothers without epidural analgesia. The results included the timing of passage of first urine, onset of breast feeding, birth asphyxia and instrumental delivery.

The timing of passage of urine had been divided into 3 groups, a) within first six hours, b) between six & 24 hours and c) more than 24 hours. In the study, lesser numbers of newborns had passed urine within the first six hours in epidural analgesia group (42 out of 110 or 38.2%) than non epidural analgesia group (58 out of 110 or 52.8%), whereas higher number of neonates had passed urine after six hours in the epidural analgesia group (49 out of 91 or 53.8%) than in non epidural group (42 out of 91 or 46.2%). Among nine newborns who had passed urine after 24 hours only, all were in epidural analgesia group. Although the passage of urine has been delayed, it was within physiological period of 48 hours. The delay in passage of urine was highly significant among the newborns in epidural analgesia group (P value 0.002). Epidural analgesia is known to cause urinary retention in the mothers post partum due to the effects of fentanyl [3,6,7,9,10]. Most studies are unable to explain the exact mechanism of post partum urinary retention in the mothers with epidural analgesia. There has been no documentation in the literature regarding the urinary retention in newborns born to mothers with epidural analgesia. This study is the first one to report this finding. Probably, the maternal

Table 4 The timing of initiation of breast feeding in the epidural and non epidural groups

Time of onset of breast feeding	Cases	Control	Total	P value
0-6 hours	96	98	194	
6-24 hours	1	1	2	0.60
>24 hours	3	1	4	
Total	100	100	200	

Table 5 The number of birth asphyxias in the epidural and non epidural groups

Birth asphyxia	Cases	Control	Total	P value
Yes	3	1	4	
No	97	99	196	0.621
Total	100	100	200	

drug transferred to the newborn could have led to urinary retention as we had used fentanyl in the mothers for epidural analgesia. However, urinary parameters in the mother were not noted in our study.

Difficulty in establishment of breast feeding is another controversial issue in the field of epidural analgesia. Successful breast feeding is one of the aims of successful labours. In our study, majority of the mothers had initiated and established breast feeding within six hours of birth confidently (194 out of 200 or 97%). Only six babies had delayed onset of breastfeeding, because of the birth asphyxia and other medical conditions for which oral feeds were withheld. There was no significant difference among the cases and the control groups with P value being 0.60. Various studies have reported that epidural analgesia may lead to difficulty in establishing early breast feeding [11,12]. There are other studies which refute such relationship [1,13-15]. Epidural analgesia per se should not have bearing upon the initiation of breast feeding in the newborns, unless the overdosing of the analgesia may make the mother feel drowsy and lead to delay in the establishment of breast feeding. Considering the appropriate dose of epidural analgesia, there should be no effect upon the initiation of the breast feeding as elicited in the study.

Epidural analgesia has also been implicated in being associated with prolonged labour, respiratory distress and lower APGAR scores in the neonates [16-19]. At the same time, there are other studies which do not support such association [1-3,20,21]. In our study, we had studied the incidence of birth asphyxia in the epidural and non epidural groups. We had considered APGAR less than six at five minutes as birth asphyxia. In epidural analgesia group, three babies had birth asphyxia and in non epidural group, only one baby had suffered birth asphyxia. However, the difference was not statistically significant (P value 0.621). Epidural analgesia may be implicated in prolonging the labors by reducing the pain and thus reducing bearing

Table 6 The number of instrumental deliveries in the epidural and non epidural groups

Instrumentation	Cases	Control	Total	P value
Yes	11	2	13	
No	89	98	187	0.010
Total	100	100	200	

down efforts, however, it is not directly contributing to birth asphyxia per se.

Another controversial aspect of epidural analgesia which we intended to study was the higher number of instrumental deliveries associated with epidural analgesia. There are studies which claim higher incidence of instrumental deliveries, including Caesarean delivery with epidural analgesia [19-23]. There are other studies which do not show such relationship [1,2,24]. In our study, Caesarean section was excluded and we could not comment upon the incidence of Caesarean section. In our study total 13 cases required instrumental interventions, including both forceps and vacuum. Out of these, 11 were from epidural analgesia group and only two from non epidural group. The difference in the two groups in the study has been statistically significant (P value 0.010). This may perhaps be explained by the poorer bearing down efforts in the mothers with epidural analgesia. At the same time, it should also be acknowledged that more complicated pregnancies are more likely to be assisted with analgesia and thus may end up being intervened more with instrumental deliveries. Confounding factors like prolonged second stage, dystocias, delayed pushing, more complicated pregnancies, parity, age, may perhaps explain the difference.

Summary and conclusions

A prospective cohort study was conducted to study the effects of maternal epidural analgesia on the neonate during early neonatal phase. 100 newborns born to mothers who were administered epidural analgesia and 100 newborns born to mothers who were not given epidural analgesia were compared among various study parameters. The newborns born to mothers with epidural analgesia tended to pass urine later significantly than the non epidural group. There was significantly increased incidence of instrumental deliveries in epidural group than in non epidural group. However, there have been no immediate effects upon breast feeding and birth asphyxia in our study. The effect of epidural analgesia on the neonate is of immense significance and should be further explored in the future with more elaborate randomized controlled multi-centre studies.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. There is no financial disclosure to be made.

Authors' contributions

BS conducted the study and drafted the manuscript. AD supervised the study and the manuscript. MS edited the entire manuscript and supervised the literature. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to show their gratitude to all the parents for providing the consent and participating in the study.

Declaration

This study is a part of the thesis submitted for the Master's Degree in Pediatrics in Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nashik, India.

Author details

¹Department of Pediatrics, Nepalese Army Institute of Health Sciences, Shree Birendra Hospital, Swayambhu, Chhauni, Kathmandu 44620, Nepal.

²Department of Pediatrics, Armed Forces Medical College, Pune 411040, India

Received: 13 August 2014 Accepted: 22 November 2014 Published online: 10 December 2014

References

- Gizzo S, Di Gangi S, Saccardi C, Patrelli TS, Paccagnella G, Sansone L, Barbara F, D'Antona D, Nardelli GB: Epidural analgesia during labor: impact on delivery outcome, neonatal well-being, and early breastfeeding. *Breastfeed Med* 2012, 7:262–268.
- Reynolds F: Labour analgesia and the baby: good news is no news. Int J Obstet Anesth 2011, 20(1):38–50.
- Anim-Somuah M, Smyth RM, Jones L: Epidural versus non-epidural or no analgesia in labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011, 12:CD000331.
- 4. Lieberman E, O'Donoghue C: **Unintended effects of epidural analgesia during labour**. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002, **186**:S31–S64.
- Eberle RL, Norris MC: Labour analgesia. A risk-benefit analysis. Drug Saf 1996. 14:239–251.
- Jones L, Othman M, Dowswell T, Alfirevic Z, Gates S, Newburn M, Jordan S, Lavender T, Neilson JP: Pain management for women in labour: an overview of systematic reviews. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012, 3:CD00934
- Cambic CR, Wong CA: Labor analgesia and obstetric outcome. Br J Anaesth 2010, 105:i50–i60.
- Halpern SH, Muir H, Breen TW, Campbell DC, Barrett J, Liston R, Blanchard JW: A multicentre randomized controlled trial comparing patient controlled epidural with intravenous analgesia for pain relief in labour. Anesth Analg 2004. 99:1532–1538.
- Musselwhite KL, Faris P, Moore K, Berci D, King KM: Use of epidural anesthesia and the risk of acute postpartum urinary retention. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007, 196:472.e1–472.e5.
- Liang CC, Wong SY, Tsay PT, Chang SD, Tseng LH, Wang MF, Soong YK: The effect of epidural analgesia on postpartum urinary retention in women who deliver vaginally. Int J Obstet Anesth 2002, 11:164–169.
- Beilin Y, Boodian CA, Weiser J, Hossain S, Arnold I, Feierman DE, Martin G, Holzman I: Effect of labor epidural analgesia with and without fentanyl on infant breast feeding. *Anesthesiology* 2005, 103:1211–1217.
- Torvaldsen S, Roberts CL, Simpson JM, Thompson JF, Ellwood DA: Intrapartum epidural analgesia and breast feeding: a prospective cohort study. Int Breastfeed J 2006, 1:24.
- Radzyminhski S: The effect of ultra low dose epidural analgesia on newborn breastfeeding behavior. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2003, 32:322–331.
- Wiklund I, Norman M, Uvnas-Moberg K, Ransjo-Arvidson AB, Andolf E: Epidural analgesia: breastfeeding success and related factors. Midwifery 2007, 25:e31–e38.
- Wilson MJ, MacArthur C, Copper GM, Bick D, Moore PA, Shennan A, COMET study group UK: Epidural analgesia & breast feeding: a randomized controlled trial of epidural techniques with & without fentanyl and a non-epidural comparison group. Anesthesia 2010, 65:145–153.
- Gerli S, Favilli A, Acanfora MM, Bini V, Girogini C, Di Renzo GC: Effect of epidural analgesia on labor and delivery: a retrospective study. J Maternal Fetal Neonatal Med 2011, 24:458–460.
- Decca L, Daldoss C, Fratelli N, Lojacono A, Slompo M, Stegher C, Valcamonico A, Frusca T: Labor course and delivery in epidural analgesia: a case control study. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2004, 16:115–118.
- Kumar M, Chandra S, Ijaz J, Senthilselvan A: Epidural analgesia in labour and neonatal respiratory distress: a case control study. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2014, 99(2):F116–119.
- Armani M, Gaggiano C, Dallaglio S, Romanini E, Sospiri C, Magnani C: Are there any strategies to improve neonatal outcomes associated with epidural analgesia in epidural analgesia in labor? *Acta Biomed* 2013, (84)2:117–123.
- Reynolds F, Sharma SK, Seed PT: Epidural analgesia and fetal acid-base balance: a meta-analysis comparing epidural with systemic opioid alangesia. BJOG 2002, 109:1344–1353.

- Ngyuen US, Rothman KJ, Demissie S, Jackson DJ, Lang JM, Ecker JL: Epidural analgesia and risks of Cesarean and operative vaginal deliveries in nulliparous and multiparous women. *Matern Child Health J* 2010, 14:705–712.
- Indraccolo U, Di Filippo D, Di Iorio R, Marinoni E, Roselli D, Indraccollo SR: Effect of epidural analgesia on operative vaginal birth rate. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol 2011, 38(3):221–224.
- O' Hana HP, Levy A, Rozen A, Greemberg L, Shapira Y, Sheiner E: The effect of epidural analgesia on labor progress and outcome in nulliparous women. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2008, 21:517–521.
- 24. Torvaldsen S, Roberts CL: No increased risk of caesarean or instrumental delivery for nulliparous women who have epidural analgesia early in (term) labour. Evid Based Med 2012, 17(1):21–22.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

